World Experience in Shale Oil & Gas Industry and prospects of its Development in Georgia

Results of Preliminary Study of Shale Gas and Shale Oil Perspectives in Georgia

By: Archil Magalashvili Murman Margvelashvili Paata Tsintsadze, and Michael King

Batumi - 2014



weg

aunosene asamoseneoas usasemunanu WORLD EXPERIENCE FOR GEORGIA

In 2010 USAID funded and supported by Georgian Government project of preliminary study of **POTENTIAL FOR SHALE GAS IN GEORGIA** was conducted By:

- World Experience for Georgia – WEG
- Experts from Georgian Universities, and
- Hydrodynamics Ltd., USA

Potential for Shale Gas in Georgia:

Preliminary Study for Feasibility Analysis of Shale Gas Exploration in Georgia



Prepared for: AEAI under Grant Agreement #ECI-GA-R2-48 Georgia Energy Capacity Initiative USAID Contract No. DOT-I-00-04-00022-00

By: World Experience for Georgia

September, 2010

STRATEGY OF THE PROJECT

Gas Shales Identification Criteria

- USA and other countries' experience;
- Identification of key parameters

- Study of Georgia's sedimentary formations

Screening

Identification of Possible Gas Shales

- Identification of screening criteria
- Separate approach for East and West Georgia

MEETINGS AND CONSULTATIONS

- The Ministry of Energy
- National Agency of Oil and Gas
- Georgian Oil and Gas Corporation
- With all main licensee companies
- USAID Georgia
- Georgian Agency of Spatial Information
- Caucasian Institute of Mineral Resources
- Institute of Geology of the Academy of Sciences
- With individual experts

Main Gas Shale Formations of the USA

Basin	Formation	Rock Type	Age
Uinta	Green River	Dolomite	Eocene
Los Angeles	Monterey	Shale (silica rich)	Miocene
Texas/Louisiana/Missisippi Salt	Haynesville	Black Shale (clay rich)	Jurassic
San Juan	Lewis	Shale	Cretaceous
Raton	Pierre	Black Shale (clay rich)	Cretaceous
Williston	Gammon	Shale	Cretaceous
Denver	Niobrara	Chalk (rich in organic material)	Cretaceous
Forth Worth	Barnett	Black Shale (clay rich)	Mississippian
Williston	Bakken	Shale with interbedded Dolomite	Devonian-Missippian
Anadarko	Woodford	Black Shale (clay rich)	Devonian-Missippian
Arkoma	Woodford	Black Shale (clay rich)	Devonian-Missippian
Illinois	New Albany	Black Shale (clay rich)	Devonian
Michigan	Antrim	Black Shale (clay rich)	Devonian
Appalachian	Cinnamon, Fredonia, Macellus	Shale (clay rich)	Devonian

SHALES ARE DIFFERENT



Modified from Hill and Nelson, 2000

DIFFERENT TYPES OF GAS SHALES



THE CRITERIA

What are Shale Gas and Shale Oil Deposits?

1 Organic-Kerogen Rich **Clastic Sedimentsa (2)** Source rock for oil & gas deposits within a geologic basin **(3)** Unconventional Resource **Low Permeability (2)** As a rule requires unique drilling and development technology



Gas Shale Definition

- Even in English there are numerous definitions of traditional shale – a sedimentary rock formed by the consolidation of mud, silt or clay and having the property of splitting into thin layers parallel to its bedding planes.
- In general, modern definitions of Gas Shales left aside mineralogy, degree of maturity and metamorphism and include only statements about sediment rocks with certain sizes of grain and states in general that Gas and Oil Shales are serve as a source and reservoir for the *in situ* generated hydrocarbons. Some definitions also mentioning kerogen type and permeability.
- Initially by many our experts it was assumed that Gas Shales and traditional shales are the same. Such approach left beyond the range of consideration very promising lithological formations constituting almost ³/₄ of formations which can be qualified as Gas and/or Oil Shales.

Diagenesis and Maturity of Oil Prone Source



Kerogen type

All shale gas plays are in oil prone, marine "Type II" kerogen facies So called "gas prone" or coaly OM are not significant!

Maceral	Kerogen Type	Original OM
Alginite	I	Fresh-water algae
Exinite	II	Pollen, spores
Cutinite	II	Land-plant cuticle
Resinite	II	Land-plant resins
Liptinite	п	All land-plant lipids; marine algae
Vitrinite	III	Woody and cellulosic material from land plants
Inertinite	IV	Charcoal; highly oxidized or reworked material of any origin

Primarily Oil Bearing Sediments in Georgia





STRATIGRAPHIC COLUMN

Dito Papaya 1996

Occurrences of Gas and Oil shows in different formations of sedimentary complex of West and East Georgia

According to D. Papava by courtesy of FRONTERA



- Limestone

- Clay

QU	QUATERNARY Q Holocene Pleistocene					0 - 300m.							
	œ	E N.	Upper	Apsheronian Akchagilian Ponthian Meothian		'n			0 - 800m.				
z	UPPE	PLIOCEN	Lower			Ponthian Meothian			1	1000 - 1500m			
GENE		г, У,	Upper		matian	Upper Middle			1000 - 3000m				
N E O		I O C E N	Middle	Tortonian	Konkian Karaganian Chokrakian				100 - 300m.				
		2	Lower	rmation	Helvet Burdig Aquita	ian alian nian		I	200 - 500m.				
0 +	UPPER	OLIGOS P3	CENE	Maikopian fo	Chuttian Chuttian Rupelian Latorphian		Chattian Chattian Rupelian Latorphian		Chattian Chattian Rupelian Latorphian				1000 - 2000m
U N				Upper	Priabo	nian		I	100 - 1500m				
0	MIDDLE	EOCE P,	NE	Middle	epo Lutetian			1	5 - 800m.				
LE				Lower	Ypresi	an		1	100 - 2400m				
4	OWER	PALEO	ENE	Thanetian Montian			hard a second second	1	50 - 400m.				
	-	-	-	Da	nian		a de la		20 - 100m.				
s K				Campanian Santonian			国	•	200 - 700m. 200 - 1000m				
EOU	U	PPER K		Coniacian Turonian Cenomenian		-			200 - 800m.				
A								100 - 500m.					
				Alb	ian				25 - 1000m				
ö		JALK N	-	Apt	ian comian			•	30 - 100m. 50 - 250m.				
-	0	FFER J,	-	Bat	honian	onian	trining the		200 - 250m				
SSIC	м	DOLE J		Baj	Bajocian				500 - 1500m				
LOWER J.		Aal Toe Doi Sin Hel	enian incian inerian emurian tangian			•	200 - 300m 200 - 1000m						
CAR	BONI	FEROUS	5 0	;					0 - 500m.				
.ow	ERAN		LE P	ALE	ozoic	Pz							

- Oil inflow

- Oil show

Evaporit

Prepared by: David Papers

HYDROCARBONS BEARING FORMATIONS OF GEORGIA

Eastern Georgia

#	Complex	Composition	Thickness (m)	Lithology	Area of distribution	Shale
East	tern Georgia	A STAN	12824	With Land And State State and All	All States and States and	100
1	Lower Pliocene (Shiraki suite)	Terrigenous	up to 2500	Clays, sandstones, conglomerates	Zonal in South Kakhetian and local in Alazani-Agrichai OGZs	
2	Upper Miocene	Terrigenous	1450-2250	Sandy-clay sediments with interlayers of conglomerates and oolithic limestones	Zonal in Kartli and South Kakhetian and local in Near-Tbilisi OGZs	+
3	Middle Miocene	Terrigenous	40-600	Clays (shales) with interlayers of sandstones	Zonal in Kartli and South Kakhetian OGZ; local in Near-Tbilisi OGZ	+
4	Oligocene- Lower Miocene	Terrigenous	500-1500 and more	Clays (shales) and sandy-clays sediments	Regional (except Achara-Trialeti zone)	+
5	Upper Eocene	Terrigenous	100-3000	Clays(?) and shales with interlayers of sandstones	Regional (except Achara-Trialeti zone)	+
6	Middle Eocene	Volcanogenic	200-600	Volcanogenic-sedimentary rocks (tuffs, lavas etc.)	Zonal in Near-Tbilisi, Kartli and South Kakhetian OGZs;	
7	Paleocene- Lower Eocene	Terrigenous	up to 3500- 4000	Sandy-clay sediments with interlayers of limestones and marls	Regional	
8	Turonian- Danian	Carboniferous	200-1200	Limestones and marls; lower occur volcanogenic rocks	Regional	
9	Neokom- Aptian	Carboniferous	up to 1000- 1500	Limestones, marls; locally interlayers of sandstones and volcanogenic rocks	Regional	
10	Upper Jurassic	Terrigenous	500-1500 and more	Upper: speckled clays, sandstones; lower: sandy- clays with interlayers of coal-bearing rocks; lowest: volcanogenic rocks	Regional	+
11	Upper Bajocian- Batonian	Terrigenous	up to 1000	Alternation of shales and sandstones	Regional (?)	+
12	Liassic	Terrigenous	200-1200 maybe more	Shales with interlayers of sandstones and rear interlayers of limestones	Regional	+

OGZ – Oil and Gas Zone

HYDROCARBONS BEARING FORMATIONS OF GEORGIA

Western Georgia

#	Complex	Composition	Thickness (m)	Lithology	Area of distribution	Shale
Wes	tern Georgia	1.76	198	16 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	NOR THE NOR	1
1	Meothian	Terrigenous	up to 1000	Conglomerates, clay with interlayers of sandstones	Zonal in Guria OGZ; local in Abkhazeti- Samegrelo and Rioni OGZ	
2	Upper Miocene	Terrigenous	up to 2000- 2500	Sandy-clay sediments	Zonal in Guria and Abkhazeti-Samegrelo OGZ; local in Rioni OGZ	+
3	Oligocene- Lower Miocene	Terrigenous	200-1900	Clays (shales) and sandy-clay sediments	Regional	+
4	Middle Eocene	Volcanogenic	1300-4000	Volcanogenic-sedimentary rocks (tuffs, tuff- aleurolites, andesites, basalts, marls etc.)	Zonal in Guria OGZ; and Achara-Imereti OGZ	
5	Turonian- Danian	Carboniferous	200-1000	Fractured limestones and marls	Regional	
6	Neokom- Aptian	Carboniferous	up to 1000- 1200	Limestones, dolomitized limestones and dolomites	Regional	
7	Upper Jurassic	Volcanogenic- Terrigenous	up to 2500	In upper part salt-bearing section; lower: sandy- clay sediments; lowest: volcanogenic rocks (albite basalts and dolerites)	Regional	+
8	Upper			Strain Stevenstein Ste	A STORE SHOW STO	See.
The second	Bajocian- Batonian	Terrigenous	up to 1000	Alternation of shales and sandstones	Regional	+
9	Liassic	Terrigenous	up to 1000	shales with interlayers of sandstones and rear interlayers of limestones	Regional	+

Potential Shale Gas Formations of Georgia

Paleogene-Neogene

 Upper Miocene (Sarmatian)
 Oligocene-Lower Miocene (Maikopian Series)

Jurassic-Age

 Middle Jurassic (Aalenian-Bathonian)

2 Lower Jurassic (Liassic)

Group	System	Syst	tem iod	Stage(s)		Substa Series Suite Horizo	ge(ss) s(se) (su) n(hor)	Litholo- gical section	Thiel (r	Thickness oil- (m) gas- water-		Lithe	logy			
				Postplic	cen	e		0000000	10-120			Bench gravels, sands, clays				
			d.	Sarmatian		Middle s	ubstage		0-	50		Cla	iys			
			-	Konkian		Lower si	abstage	-	0-	190						
				Faranania					0.	140						
			die	Karaganaa								Sandstones, clays a	nd aleurolites with			
	Neogen	Miocen	Mid	Chokrakia	n				0-1	110	•	conglomerate	es interlayers			
				Tarkhania	n				0-	50						
zoic			La la	Helvetian Burdigalia	n			22222								
eno			Low	Aquitania	n	Sup-l	khadumian			-		Non-carbo	mate clays			
ũ		100	Up.	Chattian		ikop	strata	11111		0700	••					
		-0 -0	М.	Rupelian		N.	(here)			-	•					
		9.0	L	Lattorfian	•	m	ian hor.					Carbona	ate clays			
				The second		Up.foramir	iferal hor.	-1-1-1-1	0-	500		Clay	marts			
	useo	ŧ	Up.	Priabonia	•	Lyrolep	is hor.		0-	-60		City				
	Pake	Eoo	M.	Lutetian		Lower forami-	Nummu-		9-50	120		Clay marls	Sandstone			
		-	L.	Ypresian		niferal hor.	THE HOUSE	20100	-				1000-0000			
		8 8	Lin_M	Monsean				10000	0-50		••	Marls and marl limestones				
		a a		Danian	-				0-100			Limestones				
						Maastrichtian ss.			8							
			.5			Campa	sian ss.	TTTT-		- 4	•	Lime	stones			
		Upper	Senor			Coniacian ss.	ian ss.	1 1 V V 7772 777 V V 7772 777 V V 7772 1 1 V V	0-200	0-300	•	Limestones with marl interlayers	Volkanogenic roc with clays			
	SUDOR			Turonian			1.1		0.	100		Sand	stones			
	rotak	Cretac		Albian	an .				0-	500		Clay	marls			
	0			Aptian					0-200			Marl lir	nestones			
			Da	Barremia												
			1	Hauterivia	n			A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		8		Limestones and dolomites (sandstones				
				Valanginia	111			9 18 1 1904 1 1904 1 191 1912 1 1 1905 1 1917		5	1 Y 1	foundation)				
	-			Berriasia	n				-	-		Producer				
				Kimmerida	ian			1.1.1.1.7.7.7	2			saliferous clays and	Sandstones, limestones and marls			
			dda	Oxfordiar	1	Okriba	suite		0-100	0-55	•	conglomerates with olivinic basalts covers				
				Batastian	15	Tkibul	series	1 1 1 1 1	0-	250		Sandstones, cl	ays, coal layers			
				stage	4	Patizher	ili suite	1111	0-	350		Sandstones, aleur	olites, clay shales			
			4		2	Bzimri suite		WILLIAM AND	0-1	000		Tuff sandstones w	ith clay interlayers			
	Jurassis		NIN.	stage	1	Oncheishi s. Likheti suite	Porphyry series		0-250		:.	Tuff breccia and tuffs with pophyrite cove				
				Aalenian		Sori		- 1.1	1.8			Sandstones, argillites,				
				Toarcian		suite	suite		800	02-		Innestones and marts Marbled limestone				
				Pliensbachs	an			iwi wiw	400-1000	•	Sandstones, clay shales, argillites	waa mari merlayen				
				Sinemuria	n		Martota- bani-au,	2.2	200-	200		Clay marls and argillites	Sandstones, clays a conglomerates			
				Hetangian	1	Chiatura suite						Quartzporphyries, albitophyres and the				
		Triassic		Hetangiar	2	Chiatur	a suite	0000	1.00			Quartzporphyries, a	bitophyres and their			
c		Triassic Upper		Hetangian		Chiatur	n suite n suite	00000	0-2	000		Quartzporphyries, a pyroc	bitophyres and their lasts.			
zoic		Triassic Upper Middle		Hetangiar		Chiatur	n suite n suite	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0-2	000		Quartzporphyries, a pyros	bitophyres and their lasts. Granitoids			
Paleozoic		Triassic Upper Middle Lower		Hetangian		Chiatur Chiatur Chorcha	a suite a suite na suite	0 0 0 0 	0-2	2000		Quartzporphyries, a pyroc	bitophyres and their lasts. Granitoids interlayers and wit			

Table 1. Comparative Table of Identified Potential Gas Shale Formations in Georgia

Shale Formation	Depth min/max	Thickness min/max	Maturity	Distribution	Tectonics	Lithology	Gas & Oil Shows	Level of knowledge
Upper Miocene (Sarmatian)	0/3,000	300/3,000	matured	Zonal in: Kartli and South Kakheti, Guria and Abkhazeti- Samegrelo, OGZs; local in Rioni and Near-Tbilisi OGZs	Low	sandy-clay sediments with interlayers of conglomerates and oolithic limestones	Oil shows	Intermediate
Oligocene- Lower Miocene (Maikopian)	0/>5,000	700/2,500	matured	Regional	Intermediate	clays (shales) and sandy-clays sediments	Oil & Gas shows	Good
Middle Jurassic Aalenian- Bathonian	0/>9,000	400/1,300	matured	Regional	Tectonized with vertical and overturned folding, overthrusts bedding and thrust faults	alternation of shales and sandstones	Oil	Poor- intermediate
Lower Jurassic (Liassic)	0/>10,00 0	200/1,500	matured- over- matured	Regional	Same as above but more tectonized	shales and slates with interlayers of sandstones and rear interlayers of limestones	Oil shows, bitum en	Poor-intermediate



According to the current Experience Shale gas and shale oil basins and formations that have very high clay content and/or have very high geologic complexity (e.g., thrusted and high stress) are assigned a high prospective area risk factor or as a rule, are excluded from the resource assessment



SHALE GAS & SHALE OIL PERSPECTIVE AREAS OF GEORGIA



BLACK SEA OFFSHORE

Based on current knowledge on the Black Sea offshore geology we think that Georgian sector is quite promising from the unconventional hydrocarbons point of view. Particularly special attitude deserve Maikopian sequence (TOC ~1.8%) and Lower Cretaceous sediments (TOC ~1.9%) [Black Sea Azov Sea. Report #EB014, Simon Petroleum Technology, 1994)

OIL AND GAS LICENSE BLOCKS OF GEORGIA





CONCLUSIONS

The capacity of Georgian sedimentary section to generate hydrocarbons is apparent from the occurrence of oil and gas fields, subsurface oil and gas shows, surface oil seeps, and analyses of organic matter from potential source rocks.

The primary candidate Gas Shale formations in Georgia are: i) Upper Miocene (Sarmatian); ii) Oligocene-Lower Miocene (Maikopian); iii) Middle Jurassic Aalenian-Bathonian-age shale sediments, and iv) Lower Jurassic (Liassic).

These sediments are present at varying depths over the northern and eastern one-third of Georgia.

The Sarmatian, Maikopian, and Liassic are known to be kerogen rich from surface oil seeps and oil and gas shows in wells. The Aalenian-Bathonian-age shale sediments show evidence of oil and gas in well logs. The thermal maturity within these formations tends toward oil versus natural gas and is favorable for shale gas. The resource potential of Georgian Shale Gas and Shale Oil can classified as considerable and are adequate to recommend implementing further big scale assessment of Shale Gas and Shale Oil in Georgia.

Numerous gas and oil shows reported by various license block owners and from earlier periods, indicate a high probability of gas and/or oil presence in these shale formations. As in many cases the main concern is the potential scale and the cost of its production.

There is a host of geology information accumulated in different times at different institutions that needs to be consolidated and digitized for narrowing the range of shale gas exploration.

A comprehensive gas strategy needs to be developed along with shale gas exploration in order to guide the government actions for promotion of domestic gas resources.



Development of Shale Gas Is Problematic

Well is turned

horizontal

Hydrofrac Zone

RESOURCE ACCESSMENT DIFFICULT MAJOR DATA ISSUES

1 Little to no Data

- ① Typically Overlooked by Oil & Gas Companies
- 2 Maybe Only Recorded as a Gas Show on a Log
- 2 Data Not Easily Accessible
 - 1 Held By Multiple Agencies
 - (2) Held by License Block Operators
- 3 Data Never Been Analyzed for Shale Gas Development
 - ① Economic Incentive Not Adequate in Lieu of Trading Oil & Gas Plays

ADVANCE TECHNOLOGY NECESSARY TO ACCESS & DEVELOP RESOURCE

- ① Complex Exploratory Drilling & Development
 - 1 Horizontal Drilling
 - (2) Well Depths
 - (3) Over Pressured Environment
- 2 Unique & Proprietary Logging Analysis Tools & Mythology
 - ① Special Logging Tools
 - 2 Complex Hydro Fracturing Required

General Approach to Development

- Develop the play concept, lease the core
- Partner up to drill a science or a proof-ofconcept well
 - demonstrate presence of mobile gas
 - magnitude of gas in place resource
 - some minimum level of deliverability after frac
- Development will require horizontal drlg and fracing. Gets \$\$\$\$ quickly. Few of these plays work on a low cost, vertical well basis.

Approach to Shale Gas & Shale Oil In Georgia

Develop a Unified Geological Data Base

- GIS System of Well Logs (only 3,000 well logs)

 Digital 3D Maps of Potential SG Formations

Develo	n a SG Resource A	nalysis Program	n with Licensees

 Detailed Prospect Mapping by Blocks
 Prepare Prospect Development

Plan by Blocks

Drill Pilot
 Exploratory Well

Pilot Exploratory Drilling & Test Program

- Produce Gas or Oil from Pilot Well
- Define Prospects

Design & Implement

- Develop Funding Program
- Implement Program

SG Program

FURTHER STEPS

Successful development of Shale Gas and Shale Oil in Georgia will depend on resolving of following issues:

- Identification and description of Shale Gas and Shale Oil resources;
- Compiling of 3D digital map of possible Gas and Oil shales
- Modeling and study of Gas bearing reservoirs (formations)
- Identification of well drilling and well completion technologies;
- Price and volume of extracted gas
- Elaboration of regulations
- Environmental issues: emissions, waste and water management, land use etc.

Thank you very much! and Questions?

